

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/214,868	01/14/1999	HEINZ EIPEL	47114	8102
26474	7590 09/10/2002			
KEIL & WEINKAUF			EXAMINER	
	CTICUT AVENUE, N.W. DN, DC 20036		ALEXANDI	ER, LYLE
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	12
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002	11 8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/214,868	EIPEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lyle A Alexander	1743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cov r sheet with the o	correspondence addres	ss
THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply ich places the applicat	to a tion in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 5_months from the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. It is sign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See 136(a) and the appropriate extense fee. The appropriate extense the final Office action; or (2)	MPEP xtension fee sion fee under as set forth in
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	onths after the mailing date of the final rej	ection, even if timely filed, ma	y reduce any
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or sim	nplifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims	i.
3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	stion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed a	ımendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	or reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered an ow or appended.	ıd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: 13-21.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examin	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner	
. Patent and Trademark Office		Art Unit: 1743	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicants sates the cited prior art fails to teach the claimed "non-continuous" coating. The Office maintains the pending claim language is sufficiently broad to be properly read on the art of record.